

- Spanos, N. P., Radtke, H. L., Hodgins, D. C., Bertrand, L. D., Stam, H. J., & Moretti, P. (1983b). The Carleton University Responsiveness to Suggestion Scale: Relationships with other measures of susceptibility, expectancies and absorption. *Psychological Reports*, 53, 723-734.
- Spanos, N. P., Radtke, H. L., Hodgins, D. C., Stam, H. J., & Bertrand, L. D. (1983c). The Carleton University Responsiveness to Suggestion Scale: Normative data and psychometric properties. *Psychological Reports*, 53, 523-535.
- Spanos, N. P., Radtke-Bodorik, H. L., Ferguson, J. D., & Jones, B. (1979). The effects of hypnotic susceptibility, suggestions for analgesia, and the utilization of cognitive strategies on the reduction of pain. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 88, 282-292.
- Spanos, N. P., Stam, H. J., & Brazil, K. (1981). The effects of suggestion and distraction on coping ideation and reported pain. *Journal of Mental Imagery*, 5, 75-90.
- Stam, H. J., & Spanos, N. P. (1980). Experimental designs, expectancy effects, and hypnotic analgesia. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 89, 751-762.
- Thelen, M. H., & Fry, R. A. (1981). The effect of modeling and selective attention on pain tolerance. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 12, 225-229.
- Weber, S. J., & Cook, T. D. (1972). Subject effects in laboratory research: An examination of subject roles, demand characteristics, and valid inference. *Psychological Bulletin*, 77, 272-295.
- Worthington, E. L. (1978). The effects of imagery content, choice of imagery content, and self-verbalization on the self-control of pain. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 2, 225-240.

Received February 7, 1983 ■

#### Correction to Anderson, Horowitz, and French

In the article, "Attributional Style of Lonely and Depressed People," by Craig A. Anderson, Leonard M. Horowitz, and Rita deSales French (*Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1983, Vol. 45, No. 1, 127-136), there were errors consisting of several reversed signs on the ability attributional style correlations with loneliness and depression. Lines 9-24, in the left column on p. 134, should read as follows:

For interpersonal failures, the correlation was .424 ( $p < .001$ ). None of the other types of situations showed a significant correlation. These correlations ranged from  $-.171$  to  $-.079$  ( $p > .05$  in all cases). Thus, lonely people were more likely to attribute their interpersonal failures to the unchangeable factor, ability. As in Study 1, this correlation (.424) was significantly greater than that for noninterpersonal failures (.144),  $t(114) = 2.76$ ,  $p < .01$ .

We also computed correlations between this measure and scores of depression. The correlation for interpersonal failures was .372 ( $p < .001$ ) and that for noninterpersonal failures was .272 ( $p < .005$ ).